

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,123 03/10/2004		Kiyoo Morita	Q80239	2479
23373	7590 09/05/2006		EXAMINER	
	MION, PLLC	HAUGLAND, SCOTT J		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			3654	
		DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/796,123	MORITA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Scott Haugland	3654		

		Scott Haugiand	3034	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
HE RE	EPLY FILED <u>22 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
l . ⊠ Ti th pi a	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or or application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant me periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🔀 b) 🗀	The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fort		
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-	
nave be inder 3 set forth nay red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing o	t of the fee. The appropriation of the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
fil	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed DMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
		but reign to the date of files a bein	ما اسمسمفسم مما فمسرالنين گ	
(6	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, a) \square They raise new issues that would require further con \square They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	onsideration and/or search (see N		ecause
	 They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(0	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.	
· 🗀 -	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(1 102-024).
3. 🔲 I	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a on-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. 🛛 F h	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is prohe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
	laim(s) allowed:			
	laim(s) objected to: laim(s) rejected: 11-26.			
	laim(s) rejected. <u>//-20</u> . laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. 🔲 T b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a and sufficient reasons why the affidate	Notice of Appeal will <u>naver</u>	ot be entered is necessary and
е	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the consid	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. 🛛	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449), Paper	No(s). <u>5/19/06</u>	
13. 🔲	Other:	Kath	y Malec KATHY MATECKI	k, '
			KATHY MATECKI	-0
				A A44 455

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims of the scope of claims 17, 18, 21, and 26 were not previously presented. The limitations of claims 27-29 were not previously presented.